

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/607,809	06/27/2003	Leonard Katz	300622004810	6321
25225	7590 12/02/2005		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 12531 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE			CLARK II, WII	LLIAM PAUL
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92130-2040		1656	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/607,809	KATZ ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William P. Clark	1656	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO pr - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFIEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periot reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticy received by the Office later than three months after the mapatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12</u> his action is FINAL . 2b) I Think this application is in condition for allow losed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositio	n of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restriction and/or	Irawn from consideration.		
Applicatio	n Papers			
10)□ TI A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a pplicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corner oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to by the I he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s	s)			
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/NO(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Application status

1. Claims 1-6 are pending, and will be considered herein.

Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Group I Claims 1 and 2, are drawn to a recombinant polyketide synthase gene and a host cell comprising such, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
 - Group II Claims 3-6, are drawn to methods of producing 14,15-propenylerthromycin from host cells expressing a recombinant polyketide synthase gene, classified in class 435, subclass 41.
 - 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Group I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, Group I is drawn to a host cell containing a recombinant polyketide synthase gene. Group II is drawn to using a host cell containing a recombinant polyketide synthase gene for the production of 14,15-propenylerthromycin and or the corresponding 14,15-propenyledeoxyerythronolide B. The product as claimed, Group I, can be used in a materially different process. The host cells comprising the recombinant polyketide synthase gene may be used to purify the polyketide synthase enzyme. Thus, Group I is distinct from Group II. Because these

Application/Control Number: 10/607,809 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re

Art Unit: 1656

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Election

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Clark whose telephone number is 571-272-8138. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,809 Page 5

Art Unit: 1656

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WC 11/18/05

HOPE ROBINSON PATENT EXAMINER